UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,864	09/26/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	15026US02	1276
	7590 04/07/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	WANG, LIANG CHE A		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/672,864	KARAOGUZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Liangche A. Wang	2153	

		Liangone 7t. Wang	2100
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY	Y FILED <u>13 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
applica applica	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendiculation (RCE) in compliance with 37 Class:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲 Th	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no Ex	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la kaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  f time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s ) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). F APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	lotice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing t	he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter e of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) <u></u>	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup>	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	
(a) 🗀	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected ciaims.
4. 🔲 The a	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Appli	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:	
non-al	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be all llowable claim(s).	·	
how th The st Claim( Claim( Claim(	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ne new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  (s) allowed:  (s) objected to:  (s) rejected: 1-68.  (s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an explanation of
<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>	OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ng a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The in application of the control of the con	request for reconsideration has been considered bu icant argues Lu does not teach "user defined associe Action citing Lu, Col 6 lines 54-58 to reject such lia user using PVR 200 to request desired content from 10-15, each PVR is associated with an network additional services.	iation of the first and second netwo mitaiton. However, as cited in Lu in om other PVR (PVR 200A), and as	rk addresses", and disagrees with n Col 6 lines 54-58, shows a situation also cited in the office action, Col 10
<u>PVR</u>	is leading to a "user defined association of the first	and second network addresses". I	
13.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (er:	(F10/30/00) Fapel NO(8)	

Application No.

/Liangche A. Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2153

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080331